

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,816	10/30/2003	Timothy A. Rost	TI-35257	1301	
23494	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	TRUMENTS INCOR	CRANE, SARA W			
DALLAS, T	5474, M/S 3999 X 75265		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	n			
		10/696,816	ROST ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sara W. Crane	2811				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE FORM THE MAILING DOWN THE FORM THE MAILING DOWN THE FORM THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u>	eptember 2005.					
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	er election requirement					
الــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Oπice	e Action of form PTO-152	۷.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,816

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiba et al., Dunaway et al., or Grzyb et al.

See reasons of record in the previous Office action.

Applicant argues that the Shiba reference does not teach a decoupling capacitor. The capacitor formed between the power and ground wiring has a de-coupling function, as does any capacitor. The capacitor is shown over the topmost metal layer, which does not include the capacitor metal layers themselves. Metal 7 is the top layer of the capacitor, as noted in the previous Office action. Applicant argues that Dunaway teaches an off-chip capacitor. The capacitor identified in the previous Office action is mounted on the chip. The term "on-chip" is understood to be broad enough to encompass any sort of mounting on the chip. Applicant argues that Grzby teaches that the capacitor should be formed close to the cell. Nothing in the pending claims precludes forming the capacitor close to a cell. The term "top most metal interconnect level" is understood to encompass a topmost layer which can be close to a cell.

Applicant relies on the terms "decoupling," "on-chip," and "top most" to distinguish, but no definitions are provided of any of these terms. Examiner must give such terms a "broadest reasonable interpretation," as noted above and in the previous Office action. For example, "decoupling" is understood to mean any sort of decoupling,

Application/Control Number: 10/696,816

Art Unit: 2811

because capacitors smooth out voltage fluctuation to decouple the circuits on the chip, as noted in the previous Office action. "On-chip" means on a chip, including a capacitor mounted on a chip. "Top most" is understood to mean "on top," with respect to other layers shown in a figure. None of these interpretations seems at all unreasonable.

Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtsuki in view of Saito et al, and also in view of Urdahl et al, Kar-Roy et al, and Armacost et al.

See reasons of record.

Applicant argues that figure 9 of Ohtsuki et al. is incomplete, and that there could be layers overlying the layers specifically shown in the figure. Even if this would be so, the top most layer in the figure is "a" top most layer," which is all that claim 2 requires.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2811

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Crane, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1652.

The supervisor for Art Unit 2811, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara W. Crane
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2811

Page 4